# Adapting JULES for groundwater dominated catchments

Nataliya Bulygina Neil McIntyre Adrian Butler



# Outline

- Kennet at Theale
- > JULES
- Data
- > Stepwise changes:
  - Parameterisation
  - Lower boundary condition
  - Groundwater
  - Surface runoff
  - Surface runoff routing

#### > Summary

### **Kennet at Theale**



- A mainly pervious catchment, but the lowest quarter is largely impermeable.
- A primarily rural catchment.
- •Area = 1,033.4 km<sup>2</sup>.
- Average annual rainfall = 759 mm.
- BFI = 0.87

# JULES



#### Note:

- Hydrology in standard JULES assumes
- Free drainage lower boundary;
- No interaction between grids;
- No deep groundwater representation;
- No surface water routing.

# Data

JULES input type	Source data description	Source
1 km catchment grid	<ol> <li>1) 50 m resolution raster file</li> <li>2) catchment outlet</li> </ol>	http://edina.ac.uk/digimap/ ttp://www.environmentagency.gov.uk/hiflows/station.aspx?39016
Vegetation cover	<ol> <li>IGBP 2007 land cover map</li> <li>Land use reclassification scheme (from 17 IGPB classes to 9 JULES classes) (Smith et al, 2006)</li> </ol>	http://webmap.ornl.gov/wcsdown/dataset.jsp?ds_id=10004
Soil parameters	1 km NSRI soil maps (Brooks and Corey parameterisation) based on Mayr & Jarvis (1999)	http://www.landis.org.uk/data/
Meteorological inputs	Daily, 1 km CHESS data	CEH (personal communications)
Observations	Daily flow data	http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/data/search.html

# **Right answers for the wrong reasons?**



## Account for chalk hydraulics



# Which Lower Boundary Condition? (1 of 2)



# Which Lower Boundary Condition? (2 of 2)



# **Groundwater representation: ZOOMQ3D**



# Surface Runoff (1 of 2)



# **Surface Runoff (2 of 2)**





### Summary

A number of changes are introduced to the standard JULES configuration (and soil physical properties data) to represent a groundwater dominated catchment:

1)NSRI data set was complemented with 'chalk';

2)Lower boundary condition was chosen to be a 'persistent' hydraulic gradient condition;

3)Groundwater model ZOOMQ3D was used to model baseflow;

4)PDM model was used to represent near-surface heterogeneity and allow producing surface runoff (restricted by regionalised data);

5)Surface runoff was routed using a simple constant celerity model.



# **Recharge vs. Fluxes at 3 m in chalk**

