

CER Ecology & Hydrology

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



JULES Science Workshop 27 June 2017

Tales from the JULES river bank

Huw Lewis

huw.lewis@metoffice.gov.uk

With thanks to Alberto Martinez, Simon Dadson, Helen Davies, Vicky Bell, Toby Marthews, ,...

@AGU PUBLICATIONS

Water Resources Research

St

U

REVIEW ARTICLE

10.1002/2015WR017096

Special Section:

The 50th Anniversary of Water **Resources Research**

Key Points:

· Land model development can benefit from recent advances in hydrology Accelerating modeling advances requires comprehensive benchmarking activities Stronger collaboration is needed between the hydrology and ESM modeling communities

Correspondence to:

M. P. Clark, mclark@ucar.edu

Citation

Clark, M. P., Y. Fan, D. M. Lawrence, J. C. Adam, D. Bolster, D. J. Gochis, R.P. Hooper, M. Kumar, I. R. Leung D. S. Mackay, R. M. Maxwell, C. Shen S. C. Swenson, and X. Zeng (2015). Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in Earth System

Surface

Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in Earth System Models

Martyn P. Clark¹, Ying Fan², David M. Lawrence¹, Jennifer C. Adam³, Diogo Bolster⁴, David J. Gochis¹, Richard P. Hooper⁵, Mukesh Kumar⁶, L. Ruby Leung⁷, D. Scott Mackay⁸, Reed M. Maxwell⁹, Chaopeng Shen¹⁰, Sean C. Swenson¹, and Xubin Zeng¹¹

> Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11(1), 460-467, 2007 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/11/460/2007 C Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Towards integrated environmental models of everywhere: uncertainty, data and modelling as a learning process

Keith Beven

Environmental Science/Lancaster Environment

Email: K.Beven@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

Water tabl

Developing integrated environmenta Water Framework Directive in Europ models raises questions about system of places, which might well be treate value of different types of data in pedigree of such uncertain prediction

Keywords: hydrological models, hydrological models,

@AGU PUBLICATIONS

Water Resources Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1002/2015WR017198

Companion to Clark et al. [2015]. doi:10.1002/2015W8017200

Key Points:

Modeling template formulated using	
a general set of conservation	
equations	
Evaluation focuses on flux	
parameterizations and spatial	
variability/connectivity	
Systematic approach helps improve	
model fidelity and uncertainty	
characterization	

mclark@ucar.edu

Citation

M. P. Clark

lark, M. P., et al. (2015), A unified	
pproach for process-based hydrologic	
nodeling: 1. Modeling concept, Water	
esour. Res., 51, 2498-2514,	
oi:10.1002/2015WR017198.	

detaili

physi

used i

Integrated land surface hydrology simulations, as component of fully coupled Earth System and Environmental Prediction systems



^a McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ^b Environment and Climate Change Canada, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada ^e Environment and Climate Change Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ^d Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada e University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

(Manuscript received 10 September 2015, in final form 15 February 2016)

A vision

Towards more integrated approaches to natural hazard prediction



From the National Flood Resilience Review to future capability







- Skilful hydrology predictions everywhere, all the time?
- Additional model complexity, additional constraints
- Additional model parameters (and calibration?)
- Moving from 1D vertical problem to 3D connectivity
- River flow assimilation and balance with sfc exchange

Towards coupled prediction?

ATMOSPHERE



The building blocks are in place...

39001, PDM, b=0.15, $z_{pdm}=1.0$, $S_0/S_{max}=0.0$

oint UK Land





39001, PDM, b=0.25, z_{pdm} =1.0, S_0/S_{max} =0.25

Bias=-15.1, NS=0.76





[Alberto Martinez de la Torre, CEH]

Applying slope dependent S₀







[Alberto Martinez de la Torre, CEH]



River parameters

Test c_r river wave speed sensitivity





800

39001, PDM, b=2.0, criv=0.5, slope varying S_0/S_m



39001, PDM, b=2.0, criv=0.4, slope varying S_0/S_r



54057, PDM, b=2.0, criv=0.5, slope varying S_0/S_{max}

54057, PDM, b=2.0, criv=0.4, slope varying S_0/S_{max}

JULES

Obs







[Alberto Martinez de la Torre, CEH]



Regional coupled prediction at high resolution 1.5 km river flow predictions



[[]Huw Lewis, Met Office]







12 km resolution global atmosphere



Towards 1/12° resolution global ocean



Global Flow Directions - prototype

Prototype 0.1 deg flow directions in blue. Base 0.00833 deg flow directions in red.





River visible at catchment area $> \sim 2000 \text{km}^2$



[Helen Davies, Vicky Bell, CEH]









[in collaboration with Helen Davies and Vicky Bell, CEH]





JULES routing infrastructure



• From 2D (pre vn4.8) to 1D (vn4.8+) standalone routing



• RFM "vs" TRIP

-Both similar implementations of kinematic wave routing -Need to support additional infrastructure (e.g. irrigation, inundation) consistently -TRIP looping algorithm becomes increasingly slow at higher resolution! *Quicksort?*?

• Future development

-Rationalising UM vs standalone routines; consolidating TRIP 'vs' RFM

- -Evolving from kinematic wave to diffusion wave representation
- -Towards integrated land surface+hydrology and data assimilation....

-...

And not to forget.... ...forcing characteristics continue to evolve!





mm h-1



End-to-End Assessment of Risk: From Global Weather to Local Impact







N x Global simulations at ~10km : Synoptic drivers



<N x Regional simulations at ~1km: Local meteorology



e.g. Flooding scenarios: Impacts

....and for the hour, day, week, month, year, decades ahead

Met Office CEE

Centre for Ecology & Hydrology



JULES Science Workshop 27 June 2017

Thank you

huw.lewis@metoffice.gov.uk