

Fire in the Earth System

Allan Spessa

**Project Manager, QUEST Earth System Model,
NCAS-Climate & Walker Institute, Reading University**



The University of Reading




**Quantifying and
Understanding
the Earth System**


Priorities for a fire module in JULES

- ❖ **Basic processes:** Ignitions (human-caused/lightning), fire spread, area burnt, fire intensity, fire-induced mortality of vegetation, emissions from biomass burning. Draw on current work e.g. LPJ-SPITFIRE, LPJ-GUESS-SPITFIRE, SEVER-FIRE.
- ❖ **Vegetation pattern impacts:** Interactions between fire and vegetation structure e.g. PFT distribution, LAI patterns, biomass/carbon stocks. Changes to grass: tree ratios. Possible changes to surface albedo.
- ❖ **Emissions:** Release of trace gases and aerosols from above-ground vegetation and below-ground peat fires → UKCA.
- ❖ **Respond to climate drivers:** temperature, rainfall, relative humidity, wind.
- ❖ **Land cover/use change impacts on ignitions and emissions:** forest fragmentation, road density, land abandonment. Empirical data exist for capturing first-order effects.

MODIS Land Rapid Response Fire Detections




April 2002



Global burnt area:


LPJ-SPITFIRE simulated mean annual annual area burnt, 1996 to 2002.




(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).

Global carbon emissions:

LPJ-SPITFIRE simulated mean annual carbon emissions from fire, 1996 to 2002.



**GLOBAL
ANNUAL
AVERAGE
= 3.32 Pg**



(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).

1903



Dramatic woody vegetation thickening over 90 years caused by over-grazing and an absence of fire.




Confluence of the Victoria and Angallarri Rivers at Bradshaw Homestead, Northern Territory, Australia. (Sharp & Whittaker (2003). *J. Biogeography* 783-802).

1997

Central Arnhem, Northern Territory, Australia:


Spatially-averaged LPJ-SPITFIRE simulated burnt area vs observed burnt area, 1997 to 2002. Observed data: AVHRR-derived FAA product, Western Australia DILA).



(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).

A world with fire versus no fire:



LPJ-SPITFIRE vs LPJ w/o SPITFIRE simulated difference in TrBIEg foliage projective cover.



(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).

A world with fire versus no fire:

LPJ-SPITFIRE vs LPJ w/o SPITFIRE simulated difference in TrBIRg foliage projective cover.



(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).



Total devastation after the forest fires of 1997 and 1998 in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Over two years, approx. 180,000 sq kms were burnt, and 2.26 Gt carbon released to the atmosphere ¹.




Burnt versus unburnt tropical peat swamp forest- a sharp contrast.

**Photos: Florian Siegert,
Remote Sensing Solutions
GmbH.**

1. Spessa, Heil, Langner, Weber, Siegert *Ecosystems*

Kalimantan, Indonesia:

Carbon emissions from peat, forest and non-forest fires, 1997-2003, based on EO data on fires and vegetation change, and peat maps. Contribution from peat fires is on average $\approx 70\%$.



GFEDV2 (after van der Werf et al 2006 *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*),
RSS_Kalimantan (Spessa, Heil, Langner, Weber, Siegert *Ecosystems*).

Presentations

1. ***‘Modelling Fire on the African Continent: SPITFIRE and LPJ-GUESS’.***
Dr Veiko Lehsten, Lund University.
2. ***‘Experiments with SEVER-FIRE model: lessons for future JULES fire modelling activities’.***
Dr Sergey Venevsky, Leeds University.
3. ***‘Development of a prototype fire module for Hadley GCMs’.***
Dr Sergey Venevsky, Leeds University.
4. ***‘Fires in Russian forests’.***
Prof. Heiko Balzter. Leicester University.
5. ***‘Fires in Indonesian tropical peatland forests’.***
Dr Susan Page. Leicester University.
6. ***‘Fires, Atmospheric composition, and Earth system feedbacks’.***
Dr Oliver Wild. Cambridge University.

Absent: Dr Kirsten Thonicke. Currently at IPSL (Paris) working on implementation of SPITFIRE into ORCHIDEE.

END OF INTRODUCTION



EXTRAS

Why is Fire Important in the Earth System?

1. Atmospheric forcing

- ❖ Globally, fires in forest, grasslands and peatlands → 2 to 5 Pg of carbon into the atmosphere per annum. (More than annual USA or EU greenhouse gas budgets.)
- ❖ Global warming: Fire → greenhouse gases CO₂, CO, CH₄ etc → trap incoming solar radiation.
- ❖ Global cooling: Fires → aerosols → reflect incoming solar radiation.
- ❖ Clouds: Smoke and haze can reduce rain droplet formation. Also, burnt areas are darker (lower albedo) → increase in radiation absorbed → increase convective activity.

2. Plant reproduction & survival

- ❖ Hot fires kill grasses and trees. But, many plant species need fire to help germinate seedlings e.g. eucalypts in Australia, Gamba grass in Africa, birch in Siberia.
- ❖ Generally, grasses reproduce faster than trees after fire.


3. Carbon sinks and sources, biogeochemical cycling

- ❖ Increase fire frequency → more grass and fewer trees (more carbon); and VICE-VERSA.
- ❖ Peat is a below ground carbon sink. Vulnerable to ENSO droughts & fires → carbon source.
- ❖ Fires → decrease soil Nitrogen (volatilisation and consumption of litter), and also increase soil Nitrogen (stimulation of legumes, nitrifying bacteria).

Siberia & Central Asia:

LPJ-SPITFIRE simulated vs observed mean annual area burnt, 1996 to 2002.

Observed data: AVHRR product (Suhkinin et al 2004 *Remote Sens. Environ.*)



(after Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, & Carmona-Moreno *Global Change Biology*).

Study	Coverage & Focus period	Total Carbon Burnt (Gt)	Comments
Andreae & Merlet (2001) <i>Global Biogeochemical Cycles</i>	Global late 1990s	Mean = 4.3 per year	Burnt area, biomass load, moisture & consumption from literature. Emission factors experimentally determined. Peat not included.
Schultz, Holzmann, Heil, Spessa, Thonicke <i>et al.</i> RETRO. (submitted to <i>Global Biogeochemical Cycles</i>).	Global 1960 to 2000	Mean = 1.7 per year Y1997-98 = 2.5 (El Nino) Y2000 = 1.62	Burnt area from literature, ATSR, and old version of LPJ fire model. Biomass load, moisture & consumption from literature and LPJ. Peat fires from literature.
Van der Werf <i>et al.</i> (2006) <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.</i>	Global 1997 to 2004	Mean = 2.46 per year Y1997/98 = 3.18 (El Nino) Y2000 = 2.040	MODIS burnt area, CASA biogeochemical model, no crown fires, peat fires included but depth of burn shallow <i>cf</i> literature. Peat distribution out-dated. Burnt area underestimated in Indonesia.
Thonicke, Spessa, Prentice, Harrison, Carmona-Moreno (submitted to <i>Global Change Biology</i>)	Global 1996 to 2002	Mean = 3.320 per year Y1997-98 = 3.450 (El Nino) Y2000 = 3.100	LPJ+SPITFIRE- Mechanistic approach to burnt area, biomass load, moisture & consumption. Above-ground fires only. No peats.
Page <i>et al.</i> (2002) <i>Nature</i> 420: 61-65.	Indonesia 1997	Y1997 = 0.4 to 2.6	Peat fires: Burnt area from EO data, burn depth fixed. Peat drainage not included. Low vs high emissions based on different assumptions of area burnt.
Spessa, Heil, Langner, Weber, Siegart (<i>Ecosystems</i>)	Borneo 1997 to 2003	Y1997 = 0.645 to 1.148 (El Nino) Y1998 = 0.290 to 0.475 (El Nino) Y2002 = 0.489 to 0.836 (El Nino)	Peat fires: Burnt area from fine-resolution EO data. Low vs high emissions. Low: burn depth = simple linear function of water table depth (\approx soil moisture) with account of peat drainage. High: fixed burn depth.

Uncertainties in emission estimates

- **Area burnt.**
- **How much biomass is available for burning through space and time (Litter production, crown biomass).**
- **Relative amount of fine fuels and coarse fuels (Flaming vs smouldering combustion).**
- **Fuel moisture (Flaming vs smouldering combustion).**
- **What proportion of biomass is combusted (Fire intensity).**

Future Challenges...

- 1. Better simulation of burnt area, biomass load, fuel moisture and combustion completeness by vegetation type (e.g. PFTs).**
- 2. Improved observational data for testing models performance.**
- 3. Inverse modelling of burnt area and emissions. Compare forward estimates with satellite estimates of burnt area, and atmosphere measurements of CO, CO₂ etc.**
- 4. Fire radiative power measurements from middle infrared sensors aboard geostationary satellites & MODIS → direct estimate of fuel combustion.**
- 5. Land cover change (eg. deforestation, land abandonment) and fire activity.**