JULES-RED simulations of forest demography and resilience in Brazil and RED version 2

Arthur Argles, Andy Wiltshire, Carolina Duran Rojas, Anna Harper, Jon Moore, Tilly Hancock and Peter Cox





A quick introduction

• The Robust Ecosystem Demography (RED) dynamic vegetation model is being introduced into JULES (target is 6.2!) to introduce plant size as a new dimension:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial m} [ng] = -\gamma n$$



Size-dependent Dynamics



Size-dependent Dynamics



Here we assume a simple power-law for a disturbance:

$$\gamma_d = \gamma_{d,0} \left(\frac{h}{h_0}\right)^{\phi_1}$$

A positive power could be relating to a disturbance such as drought.

A negative power could be representative of fire.

TropForC-db contains carbon density and site age from pan-tropical locations (Anderson-Teixeira et al. 2016)

JULES-RED coupling



JULES gives RED the Grid-Box total assimilate (NPP – Local Litterfall), and any disturbance mortality

RED updates the plant number density through mass classes and therefore the vegetation carbon, height and other important parameters.

Additional modules (Fire & Drought) can be developed for the RED framework allowing for important size-dependent processes.

JULES-RED spin-ups

- Current testing of the JULES-RED branch has been done with a copy of u-al752 for the LBA sites.
- Figure on the right we have assumed a mortality rate of $\gamma_b = 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for BET-Tr and an arbitrary initial number density distribution.
- We have a target submission for JULES 6.2 into the trunk, there will be a switch between TRIFFID and RED.
- For CSSP-Brazil we would like to do resilience runs (N216 resilience runs) for South America, with other JULES components (INFERNO, SOX and SUGAR).



RED version 1 issues.

- There are currently two principal issues with RED version 1. Firstly, there is a dependence on regrowth time-scales on arbitrary parameters such as the minimum vegetation fraction, v_{\min} and the choice of seedling mass m_0 .
- Secondly, achieving diversity among PFTs in the same competitive tier (i.e. tree vs tree or shrub vs shrub).



RED version 2 Seed Pool

• RED version 2 removes now includes an explicit seed pool, N_s at a seed mass m_s . Seeds germinate into plants of the same mass $m_s \rightarrow m_0$ and enter the size structure at a rate of $\frac{g_s}{m_s}s$, (s being the shading). The recruitment flux now becomes:

$$\frac{\partial N_s}{\partial t} = \frac{\alpha P}{m_s} - \gamma_s N_s - \frac{g_s}{m_s} N_s s$$

Seeds do not contribute to the shading competition. Therefore, this allows us to set a minimum seed density without arbitrarily compromising regrowth time-scales.

RED version 2 Diversity

• We assume a new competitive matrix for Grasses, Shrubs and Tree PFTs with a shared inter-group coefficient *c*, having *c* < 1 allows for PFT overlap in space.



• The new fraction JULES sees is the "top-down" coverage, which is simply $v_{\text{TD}} = cv$.

RED version 2 Diversity

• We assume a new competitive matrix for Grasses, Shrubs and Tree PFTs with a shared inter-group coefficient *c*, having *c* < 1 allows for PFT overlap in space.



• The new fraction JULES sees is the "top-down" coverage, which is simply $v_{\text{TD}} = cv$.

RED version 2 Diversity

To mimic the Maximum Entropy Theory of Ecology (Harte, 2011), we pick a *c* value to maximise our diversity function:

$$H_{c} = -c \sum_{i} \left(\frac{Z_{i}}{Z_{\text{tot}}} \right) \ln \left(\frac{Z_{i}}{Z_{\text{tot}}} \right),$$
$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i} Z_{i}$$

 Z_i is an ecosystem PFT property such as coverage or carbon density.



RED version 1 versus version 2.

Here we have selected 6 Arbitrary PFTs meant to represent a distribution of mortality and growth rates.



Any Questions?